

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/478,299	01/05/2000	John L. Schenk	22091-701	1509
	7590 12/24/2003		EXAM	INER
Craig Miles Santangelo Law Offices P C			MELLER, MICHAEL V	
125 South Howes Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3rd Floor Fort Collins, CO 80521			1654	
			DATE MAILED: 12/24/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/478,299	SCHENK, JOHN L.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Michael V. Meller	1654	
eriod fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reploy period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period irre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing adaptent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a in the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON the cause the application to become AE.	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication  3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2	<u>20/2003</u> .		
2a)⊠	2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowardosed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal matt Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ers, prosecution as to the merits is 0. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🛛	Claim(s) 38-67 is/are pending in the application	on.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>40,41,55,56,60-62,6</u>	65 and 67 is/are withdrawn	from consideration.	
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) <u>38,39,42-54,57-59,63,64,66</u> is/are re	ejected.		
-	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)[_	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
	The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyan	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
a)[ * S 13)∐ A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document None of:  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureate the attached detailed Office action for a list cknowledgment is made of a claim for domest once a specific reference was included in the firm	ts have been received. ts have been received in Apority documents have been u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	pplication No received in this National Stage received. § 119(e) (to a provisional application	

Attachment(s)

37 CFR 1.78.

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_ 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other:

14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.

Art Unit: 1654

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

The election/restriction of record is maintained.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 43, 44, 63, 64, 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

There is still no support in the specification for the ranges of sperm cells claimed.

Applicant ans not addressed this rejection but it is still valid.

The time limitation still has no support. In fact, as applicant noted, they have support for 1-18 hours, which clearly is more than 6 hours.

Application/Control Number: 09/478,299

Art Unit: 1654

Claims 38, 39, 42-54, 57-59, 63, 64, 66 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 63 and 64 are still confusing. The way the applicant has now amended the claims is confusing since it is not clear how the "at least some of said sex selected sperm cells" can be not frozen as in claims 63 and 64 and indeed frozen in the main claim, claim 38. If claim 38 requires that the "at least some of said sex selected sperms cells" are to be frozen then claims 63 and claim 64 fail to further limit claim 38.

Claims 38, 39, 42-54, 57-59, 63, 64, 66, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salisbury et al. in view of Spaulding and Shrimpton.

Applicant argues that the main claim now has flow cytometry in it. Applicant states that one would not want to use flow cytometry since it is argued that flow cytometry would not preserve sperm viability. This is not well taken since this rejection is a combination of references and flow cytometry is well know in the art as is evident from the cited references. The reference clearly sex selected sperm cells using flow cytometry, thus the claimed invention is known.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael V. Meller whose telephone number is 703-308-4230. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday: 9:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brenda Brumback can be reached on 703-306-3220. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0196.

Michael V. Meller Primary Examiner Art Unit 1654